

EDP 381C: Research Design and Methods for Psychology and Education
Spring 2016, Tues/Thur, 2:00 - 3:30 pm
SZB 432

Instructor: James E. Pustejovsky (pronounced "PUHS-tea-UV-ski")

Email: pusto@austin.utexas.edu

Phone: 512-471-0683

Office hours: Mondays, 1:00-3:00 pm or by appointment

Office: SZB 538 D

Teaching assistant: Jen Freeman

Email: jlfreeman@utexas.edu

Office hours: Tuesdays, 3:30-4:30 pm; Thursdays, 12:00-1:00 pm; or by appointment

Office: SZB 537S

Course Description

This course will introduce essential concepts and methods used in quantitative empirical research in the fields of education and psychology, in order to prepare students both to be informed consumers of research and to conduct empirical research of their own. The course is organized around four main themes: measurement, populations and sampling, experimental causal research, and quasi-experimental causal research. On each theme, we will read relevant theoretical and methodological literature, discuss empirical research in light of those concepts, and develop research proposals using the methods that we discuss. Throughout, emphasis will be placed on building intuition and heuristics regarding research designs and methods, rather than mastering technical details.

Learning Goals

By the end of this course, you should be able to...

- Identify and describe the important operational features of different types of research designs (e.g., surveys, randomized experiments, quasi-experimental designs).
- Identify major strengths and weaknesses of different research designs.
- Critique the design of published studies that use quantitative, empirical research methods in terms of construct validity, internal validity, and external validity.
- Formulate clear, well-motivated research questions.
- Construct proposals for empirical research studies using a variety of different research designs.

Pre-Requisites

- EDP 380P Measurement & Evaluation or equivalent training
- EDP 382K Correlation & Regression or equivalent training (or prior consent of the instructor)

Readings

- Required text: Remler, D. K. & Van Ryzin, G. G. (2015). *Research Methods in Practice: Strategies for Description and Causation*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Further readings posted on Canvas.
- Recommended text: Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Generalized Causal Inference*. Boston, MA: Houghton, Mifflin and Company.

Assignments

There will be several short (3-5 page) writing assignments given over the course of the semester. You are expected to complete these assignments individually. Each assignment will involve writing either A) a brief “sketch” of a research proposal or B) one component of a research proposal.

Research Proposals

It is impossible to learn how to ride a bicycle only by reading about how to pedal and balance. Similarly, one of the best ways—if not the only way—to learn how to design empirical research studies is through practicing. Therefore, a major component of this course involves developing two realistic research proposals that use the methods and tools covered under each theme of the course. For each proposal, you will develop an initial draft, submit it for feedback from your peers, and then revise and resubmit final drafts. ***Only the final drafts of the proposals will be graded.*** You are encouraged (though not required) to work on each project in a group of up to three students; all students in the group will receive the same grade on the project.

Writing

It is expected that individual assignments and research proposals will be well composed, following the style and tone of an academic paper. Students who need assistance with their writing are encouraged to seek help from the Sanger Learning Center (<http://www.utexas.edu/ugs/slc/grad>), which offers free tutoring services for graduate students.

Students will need to cite other scholarly work in your assignments, following APA6 format. I highly recommend using reference management software such as [Microsoft EndNote](#), [Zotero](#), or [Mendeley](#). Software like this will make it much easier to format your citations and reference lists.

Article Presentations

Over the course of the semester, we will read and discuss a number of empirical research articles that use the designs discussed in each section of the course. Each student will be in charge of presenting and leading discussion of one article. The presentation should cover: 1) the motivation for the research, 2) the main research question(s), 3) relevant details about how the research was carried out, 4) a succinct summary of the results, and 5) implications of the findings. Through discussion, the class will then identify major design features and critiques of the research

Evaluation

- Proposals (40%). There will be two proposals. Each proposal has two due-dates: one for a draft that will be distributed for peer feedback and a second for a final draft. Late submissions on the first draft will lose the benefit of peer review, and will lead to final drafts being marked down 20% per day. Late submissions on the final draft will be marked down 20% per day.
- Peer reviews (10%). Students' reviews of their peers' proposals will be evaluated for thoroughness, relevance, and constructiveness. Late submissions will not be accepted.
- Assignments (30%). Approximately six individual writing assignments will be given over the course of the semester.
- Article presentation and discussion (10%). Each student will sign up to present and lead discussion of one article over the course of the semester.
- Class participation (10%). Students are expected to attend each class meeting and to be informed, active participants in class discussions. Besides asking and answering questions during class discussions, other modes of participation include coming to office hours to discuss the course material (but not to discuss grades). Class participation will be evaluated based on the instructor's global impression over the entire semester.

A tentative rubric for assignment of final grades is listed below. ***The instructor reserves the right to modify this rubric.*** Square brackets correspond to \leq or \geq ; rounded parentheses correspond to $<$ or $>$.

A	[90, 100]	C+	[74, 77)
A-	[87, 90)	C	[70, 74)
B+	[84, 87)	C-	[67, 70)
B	[80, 84)	D	[60, 67)
B-	[77, 80)	F	[0, 60)

Attendance

Students are responsible for all of the material presented during class meetings. If a student must miss a class, it is their responsibility to obtain and thoroughly review notes or summaries of the material that they missed. Frequent or unexcused absences will adversely affect a student's participation grade.

Academic Integrity

Following the University's honor code, students are expected to maintain absolute integrity and a high standard of individual honor in scholastic work. All assignments (projects and presentations) must be completed with the utmost honesty, which includes acknowledging the contributions of other sources to your scholastic efforts; avoiding plagiarism; and completing assignments independently unless expressly authorized otherwise. *Assignments containing any plagiarized material will not be accepted.*

ADA Accommodations

The University of Texas at Austin provides upon request appropriate accommodations for qualified students with disabilities. For more information, please contact the Office of the Dean of Students at 471-6259, 471-4671 TTY.

Religious Holidays

By UT Austin policy, students must notify the instructor of a pending absence due to religious observance at least fourteen days in advance. If the student must miss a class, an examination, a work assignment, or a project in order to observe a religious holy day, the student will be given an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence, with no penalty.

Emergency Evacuation Policy

Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors of the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when you entered the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the instructor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the instructions of the instructor.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you're given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

Tentative Schedule and Readings

Introduction

1/19 - Types of research questions

- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp. 1.

1/21 - Posing research questions

- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp. 2.

1/26 - Reading, summarizing, and critiquing research

- DeRigne, L. (2010). What are the parent-reported reasons for unmet mental health needs in children? *Health & Social Work*, 35(1), 7-14.
- Nese, J. F. T., et al. (2013). In search of average growth: describing within-year oral reading fluency growth across grades 1-8. *Journal of School Psychology*, 51(5), 625–642.
- Chiu, A. W., et al. (2013). Effectiveness of modular CBT for child anxiety in elementary schools. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 28(2), 141–153.

1/28 - The validity typology, construct validity

- Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Generalized Causal Inference*. Boston, MA: Houghton, Mifflin and Company. Chps. 2-3.

Measurement

2/2 - Reliability and validity

- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp. 4.
- Barth, A. E., et al. (2012). Reliability and validity of oral reading fluency median and mean scores among middle grade readers when using equated texts. *Reading Psychology*, 33:1-2, 133-161.

2/4 - Questionnaire design

- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp. 7.
- Schwarz, N. (1999). Self-reports: How the questions shape the answers. *American Psychologist*, 54(2), 93–105.

2/9 - More design issues in descriptive and associational research

- Neitzel, C., Alexander, J. M., & Johnson, K. E. (2008). Children's early interest-based activities in the home and subsequent information contributions and pursuits in kindergarten. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 100(4), 782–797.

- Desimone, L. M., Smith, T. M., & Frisvold, D. E. (2010). Survey measures of classroom instruction: Comparing student and teacher reports. *Educational Policy*.

Populations and sampling

2/11 - External validity, probability sampling

- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp. 5.

2/16 – Stratification

- Groves, et al. (2009). *Survey Methodology*. Chps. 1 & 4.

2/18 – Multi-stage (cluster) sampling

- Robinson-Cimpian, J. P., Lubienski, S. T., Ganley, C. M., & Copur-Gencturk, Y. (2014). Teachers' perceptions of students' mathematics proficiency may exacerbate early gender gaps in achievement. *Developmental Psychology*, 50(4), 1262–81. ***Focus on Study 1 only.***

2/23 – Secondary data analysis

- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp 6.
- Warren, J. R., Hoffman, E., & Andrew, M. (2014). Patterns and trends in grade retention rates in the United States, 1995-2010. *Educational Researcher*, 43(9), 433–443.

2/25 - Missing data

- Baraldi, A. N., & Enders, C. K. (2010). An introduction to modern missing data analyses. *Journal of School Psychology*, 48(1), 5–37.
- Cantor et al. (2015). Report on the AAU Campus Climate Survey on Sexual Assault and Sexual Misconduct. Read Section 2 (Methodology) and Appendix 4 (Non-response bias analysis).

3/1 – Effect sizes

- Hedges, L. V. (2008). What are effect sizes and why do we need them? *Child Development Perspectives*, 2(3), 167–171.
- Bloom, H. S., Hill, C. J., Black, A. R., Lipsey, M. W., & Rebeck, A. (2008). Performance trajectories and performance gaps as achievement effect-size benchmarks for educational interventions. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 1(4), 289–328

3/3 – Discussion of descriptive research projects

Causal research: Randomized experiments

3/8 – Experimental and quasi-experimental designs

- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp. 11.

3/10 - Simple randomized experiments

- Beaumont, R., & Sofronoff, K. (2008). A multi-component social skills intervention for children with Asperger syndrome: Detective Training Program. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49(7), 743-753.
- Ramani, G. B., & Siegler, R. S. (2008). Promoting broad and stable improvements in low-income children's numerical knowledge through playing number board games. *Child Development*, 79(2), 375–394.

3/22 - Theory of randomized experiments, design choices

- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp. 14.

3/24 - Block-randomization and covariate adjustment

3/29 – Power analysis

3/31 - Cluster-randomized designs

- Bloom, H. S. (2005). Randomizing groups to evaluate place-based programs. In H. S. Bloom (Ed.), *Learning More from Social Experiments: Evolving Analytic Approaches* (pp. 115–172). New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation. ***Read pp. 115-134 and 141-157.***
- Blair, C. & Raver, C. C. (2014). Closing the achievement gap through modification of neurocognitive and neuroendocrine function: Results from a cluster randomized controlled trial of an innovative approach to the education of children in kindergarten. *PLoS ONE* 9(11): e112393.
- Sumi, W. C., et al. (2012). Assessing the effectiveness of First Step to Success: Are short-term results the first step to long-term behavioral improvements? *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 21(1), 66–78.

4/5 - Field issues: compliance, fidelity, and attrition

- Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Generalized Causal Inference*. Boston, MA: Houghton, Mifflin and Company. Chp. 10, pp. 314-340.

4/7 - Some examples of experiments

- Landa, R. J., Holman, K. C., O'Neill, A. H., & Stuart, E. A. (2011). Intervention targeting development of socially synchronous engagement in toddlers with autism spectrum disorder: A randomized controlled trial.

Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines, 52(1), 13–21.

- Vaughn, S., et al. (2014). High school students with reading comprehension difficulties: Results of a randomized control trial of a two-year reading intervention. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*.
- Stice, E., Rohde, P., Gau, J., & Shaw, H. (2009). An effectiveness trial of a dissonance-based eating disorder prevention program for high-risk adolescent girls. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77(5), 825–34.

Causal research: Quasi-experiments

4/12 - Single-case designs

- Horner, R. H., & Odom, S. L. (2014). Constructing single-case research designs: Logic and options. In T. R. Kratochwill & J. R. Levin (Eds.), *Single-Case Intervention Research: Methodological and Statistical Advances* (pp. 53–90). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Kamps, D., et al. (2011). Class-Wide Function-Related Intervention Teams: Effects of group contingency programs in urban classrooms. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 13(3), 154–167.
- Ross, S. W., & Horner, R. H. (2009). Bully prevention in positive behavior support. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 42(4), 747–59.

4/14 - Statistical adjustment

- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp. 12-13.

4/19 – Matching

- Belfort, M. B., et al. (2013). Infant feeding and childhood cognition at ages 3 and 7 years: Effects of breastfeeding duration and exclusivity. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 02115, 1–9.

4/21 - Regression discontinuities

- Cortes, K. E., Goodman, J. S., & Nomi, T. (2015). Intensive math instruction and educational attainment: Long-run impacts of double-dose algebra. *The Journal of Human Resources*, 50(1).
- Gormley, W. T., Gayer, T., Phillips, D., & Dawson, B. (2005). The effects of universal pre-K on cognitive development. *Developmental Psychology*, 41(6), 872–84.
- (Optional) Bloom, H. S. (2012). Modern regression discontinuity analysis. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 5(1), 43–82.

4/26 - Interrupted time series

- Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Generalized Causal Inference*. Boston, MA: Houghton, Mifflin and Company. **Read pp. 171-206.**
- Remler & Van Ryzin (2015), Chp. 15.

4/28 - Criticism of the experimental paradigm

- Persons, J. B., & Silberschatz, G. (1998). Are results of randomized controlled trials useful to psychotherapists? *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 66(1), 126–35.
- Howe, K. R. (2004). A critique of experimentalism. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 10(1), 42–61.
- Raudenbush, S. W. (2005). Learning from attempts to improve schooling: The contribution of methodological diversity. *Educational Researcher*, 34(5), 25–31.

5/1 – TBD

5/3 – Discussion of causal research projects